British Nationalist

FOR RACE AND NATION

NOVEMBER 1992

30p

TWENTY PER-CENT!

BNP delivers election bombshell in East London

A BOMBSHELL hit East London on Thursday, the 1st October, when a British National Party candidate standing in a by-election to Tower Hamlets Borough Council won no less than 20 per-cent of the poll. This was the best vote achieved by any BNP candidate in the party's ten-year history.

Barry Osborne, contesting Millwall ward, in the tough, mainly white dockland area of the Isle of Dogs, obtained 657 votes in the election, which was won by Labour, with 1,275 votes; the Liberal Democrats came second, with 1,175 votes, and the Tories were beaten into last place, with a miserable 182 votes.

Although Labour won, the result was recognised as a spectacular victory for the BNP. The *East London Advertiser*, reporting the following week, headlined its account: 'Islanders turn to BNP in election', followed by a sub-head which said: "Extreme Right score best win for a decade."

In fact, the BNP vote would have been even better had not the Liberal Democrats attempted to steal the party's platform by pitching its campaign largely at the white anti-immigration voters. Local Labour chiefs, according to the *Advertiser*, "accused the Liberal Democrats of playing the race card during the campaign." The Liberal Democrats' tactics were of course entirely dishonest, since their local manifesto was totally out of line with the policies of their party at national level. Labour, said the paper, had "written to Lib Dem leader Paddy Ashdown asking him to explain what Labour sees as the wide differences between national and local party policy on race issues..."

The BNP vote in Millwall ward came to more than half the votes obtained by the party in the general election over the whole constituency, which totalled 1,107 (or 3 per-cent of the poll). This is a measure of how the party's support has increased in the short space of six months. The party's opponents, in their turn, are aghast at the size of the BNP vote, and are now running round in circles trying to find ways of stopping the nationalist tide.

Barry Osborne's result is a tremendous achievement, and he and his team of helpers are to be congratulated on a magnificent campaign. Not least, the electors of Millwall ward who supported him should be congratulated on having the courage to vote as their consciences dictated rather than sheepishly voting for the established parties.

On the 1st October Barry and his supporters made history. And this is just the start!

On right: Barry Osborne, the most successful BNP candidate ever; Below left: report in the East London Advertiser *acknowledging the party's triumph in Millwall ward.*

LABOUR WIN AGAIN, BUT...

Islanders turn to BNP in election

Extreme Right score best win for a decade

FAR-right extremists won their best election result in Britain for a decade in last week's poll in Millwall.

BY DAVE KEEN

The British National Party gained a fifth of the vote in the bitterly-fought council by-election on the Isle of Dogs.

And victorious Labour Party chiefs have accused the Liberal Democrats of playing the 'race card' during the campaign.

BNP candidate Barry Osborne gained 657 votes to come third with the Conservatives trailing in fourth position. He warned: "We are on our way."

Veteran community activist Ted Johns retained the seat for Labour with 1275 votes, just edging ahead of Liberal Democrat Jonathan Mathews.

Cllr Johns, an independent Labour councillor more than 20 years ago, said he was disappointed by the size of the BNP vote.

He said: "It is a protest vote against the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood. It will evaporate at the next council elections."

Cllr Johns claimed: "We won after a fight in which both the Liberal Democrat and British National Parties played on people's fears and made quite dishonest and divisive claims."

Labour has sent a Lib Dem campaign leaflet to the Director of Public Prosecutions and the Commission for Racial Equality.

The leaflet focused on housing problems on the island campaigning for a

Labour election victor Ted Johns

sons and daughters policy to be effectively implemented on the Isle of Dogs.

And Labour has also written to Lib Dem leader Paddy Ashdown asking him to explain, what Labour sees as the wide differences between national and local party policy on race issues...

The defeated Lib Dem candidate, Jonathan Mathews, lost the by-election by just 97 votes. Previous Labour councillor Ivan Walker had a 44 seat majority.

Mr Mathews blamed the defeat on supporters not turning out to vote.

He dismissed suggestions of playing the race card, saying: "The fact that Labour calls us racist when we tackle the issues relevant to local people is a reflection of Labour not of the real world."

The result means all five seats on the Island are still Labour.

Why we back the miners against the Government

ARTICLE & LEADER ON PAGE TWO

British Nationalist
No. 125 NOVEMBER 1992
Editor: John Morse

JUST WHOSE SIDE IS Mr. MAJOR ON?

THE OBSERVER **newspaper revealed on the 25th October that the current flood of cheap foreign coal into Britain, one of the factors driving our own mining industry to the wall, is being deliberately promoted by none other than Britain's Prime Minister!**

According to *The Observer*, Mr. Major "agreed to help Columbia export cheap coal to undercut British miners during a visit to the South American country in June."

The Observer **went on to report that Major had told Columbian President Cesar Gaveria that it went against Conservative Party policy to close Britain's market to imported foreign coal. Mr. Juan Manuel Santos, Columbia's Foreign Trade Minister, said that Major had been "totally committed" on the matter. Our Prime Minister had spoken of allowing an increase in coal imports from the country whose industry uses sweated labour, including child miners. He had even proposed an Anglo-Columbian 'special relationship' — particularly in the energy sector.**

In all this, Mr. Major claimed to be concerned about the British consumers' welfare. But, as we point out elsewhere on this page, Columbian coal has been coming into Britain for some time, without noticeable economies to British electricity consumers. All that seems to be happening is that the mark-up to the new owners of the privatised power industry is increasing.

But who are these consumers anyway? Surely, none other than the very same workers who are being made jobless by these open-trading, free market, pro-foreign import policies the PM professes to think are in their interests! A fat lot of benefit many of them are going to get out of cheaper electricity — if it ever comes about! Anymore than out of cheaper cars, cheaper household goods, or cheaper anything else if, because these things are all imported, they're out of work and their incomes have sunk to the level of the dole!

Meanwhile, the collapse of incomes in Britain that this brings about is part of a vicious downward spiral in which, with ever less purchasing power around, there is ever less in the way of effective demand. Unable to sell what they produce because of a declining home market, British businesses go to the wall in rapid succession. As they do so they give the whole spiral another twist. Unemployment goes up again; recession deepens; the vicious circle intensifies.

It seems never to occur to Mr. Major or any other Tory that instead of focusing on cutting costs they should start focusing on raising production. To do this means providing new jobs. With the jobs would come extra income. Would the income would come new purchasing power. With this, the vicious circle would be turned around into a virtuous one. Britain would climb out of recession. With rising incomes paralleling rising production British people would have the wherewithal to consume the produce of their own industry — including electricity produced from British coal.

This has no appeal to Britain's Tories because there is only one way of achieving it. Our home markets would have to be closed to competitive foreign goods — such as Columbian coal. This would put a stop to the profits gained by the sharks of the City from financing the coolie labour of the Third World and dumping the product in Britain.

These City sharks are the people John Major is really playing for.

STAY VIGILANT!

The Government's attempted massacre of British coal isn't over

U-TURN or no U-turn, it makes no difference. British Coal is still under threat.

Brylcreamed millionaire political spiv, Michael Heseltine, Tory Trade & Industry Secretary, let the cat out of the bag about what is his true attitude, as well as those of the Government and the big money establishment it fronts for, towards Britain's mining industry by the callous brutality of his pit-closure scheme.

Heseltine may have been temporarily forced by the surge of public anger and the temporary and partial back-bench revolt of Tory MPs to back off from chopping the 31 pits booked for extinction. But this doesn't mean he won't carry through the slaughter at a later date, either in one fell swoop or piecemeal, when the present hue and cry has calmed down.

The position of miners' leaders who have warned of this has been proved dead right. These include NUM boss Arthur Scargill, with whose political opinions we normally have no sympathy. These miners' leaders would be correct to keep their powder dry.

Not least, there's obviously a concealed motive behind the plot to kill British Coal which goes a lot deeper than the professed reasons of 'economy'. Why else did those responsible for the closure scheme bring forward their original plans to wipe out most of what remains of the industry without any advance consultation with those affected? And why else did they do so without even establishing their claim that British coal is more expensive than other possible sources of energy for electricity generation?

Even if this on its own had been a sufficient reason for the pit closures — which is highly questionable — it is only now, after the event, as part of his promised 'review' of the plans, that Heseltine is even addressing himself seriously to the question at all. It is only now that he is going through the motions of getting experts to enquire into it.

FIGHTING FOR BRITAIN

One thing is for sure. In fighting for their industry, their communities and their jobs, our miners are fighting not just for themselves but for Britain. The great Tory mines massacre, if it went ahead, would be another big step along the road to ruin for this nation.

The human cost if the pits are axed will be dire. Vast numbers of jobs in industries servicing coal, like rail and road transport, could go. Shops and other businesses in the coalfields could fold. Matters could end up with job losses of several hundred thousand, as once thriving communities come to look like Wild West ghost towns. Those left high and dry there, cast into poverty through no fault of their own by the wickedness of Westminster and Whitehall, with no prospects and nowhere to go in slump-ridden Britain, will become hopeless and embittered.

Hard-working miners and their wives, who have sweated and toiled to buy their homes and give their kids a future, will be unable to pay the mortgages the Tories have encouraged them for a decade to take out, and will possibly end up on the streets.

Divorce and suicide could soar. The young will be likely to lose all sense of obligation to a society they will see as having ditched them. Some will fall prey to drugs. Crime will explode yet further. Out of desperation, others may give ear to the siren-song of the vicious left-wing agitators out to exploit their disaffection to bring down Britain in the name of 'class warfare' and bogus 'revolution'.

Yet Heseltine didn't turn a hair over any of this. There's still no reason to believe that in his own mind he thinks any differently now. After all, as far as he and the Tory Party are concerned, British miners are just members of the white working class — which they habitually regard as practically an enemy, to be wiped out. By these attitudes and actions it is they, more than anybody else, who are responsible for a large part of the support in this country enjoyed by the anti-British left.

IMPORTANCE OF BRITISH COAL

Meanwhile, it isn't as if Britain doesn't need coal, nor as if her own miners can't supply it efficiently. Most of the pits in jeopardy in Yorkshire and Nottinghamshire have been doing this at a profit. There has in fact been a lively market for their product, which even in Tory terms should guarantee their survival. Their future ought to be bright.

If Britain were ruled by people who put British interests first, it could be.

Britain is an island built on coal — literally. Viewing things in national terms, all the arguments are in favour of taking advan-tage of this fact. This country's coal is its readiest, most abundant and most lasting energy source. There are said still to be some 300 years' worth of reserves of it right here underneath our own soil.

Not least, coal is the one substantial energy source available to us of which we have ample supplies under our own national control. If we lose our coal industry, we could be held to ransom by foreigners for our future energy needs. The Arab oil embargo of the 1970s showed how that could happen.

Finally, if our pits are closed down they will decay physically very fast, not to be restored in a hurry. The same can be said of the big new chunk of Britain's economic infrastructure that will have been wiped out with them.

Heseltine and his friends seems to have been just as little concerned about any of this.

GOVERNMENT'S ECONOMIC ARGUMENTS WEAK

But nor do the arguments of Heseltine & Co. about the alleged cheapness of the supposedly cut-price energy sources with which they wish to replace British coal seem to hold much water.

Heseltine said at the time of announcing his pit-closure programme that allowing Britain's power industry to switch from home-produced coal to gas would cut domestic electricity bills by an average of £8 per year. But this overlooks the ongoing social costs that, over time, will have to be paid by everyone if our miners are laid off — of which redundancy and dole payments will be only the beginning.

It also overlooks the probability that this derisory saving will only be temporary. For not everyone is agreed that in the longer term gas is a cheaper option at all.

Ian Fells, professor of energy conversion at Newcastle University, slamming the original pit-closure proposals as 'foolhardy', said that within ten years Britain, whose North Sea gas reserves are running down fast, will be dependent for that commodity on foreign supplies. The price of these, he said, is set to shoot up as global demand grows.

HESELTINE Still bent on destroying coal industry

been getting much benefit in the way of price reductions from the import of coolie-mined coal from South Africa, Vietnam and Columbia, which the recently privatised British power industry has been given a free hand by the Government to import. This coal is cheaper than our own, but the private interests that have got PowerGen into their claws are maximising their mark-up by keeping up prices to the same level as they were charging when using home-mined coal.

It would appear much more likely that Britain's coal industry is being sacrificed primarily for the profit of the kind of City interests with which the Tory Party is in cahoots. With the decline of communism in particular,


SACK MAJOR NOT THE MINERS
MAJOR NOT THE MINERS
TUC GENERAL STRIKE NOW
MINERS FIGHT IS OUR FIGHT
SACK THE TORIES NOT THE MINERS
TUC-GENERAL STRIKE NOW

THE PEOPLE'S ANGER
In this big demonstration in London on October 21st people from many sections of society joined in the protest on behalf of the miners against the Government. The slogan 'Sack Major, not the miners,' though here displayed on Socialist Workers' Party placards, in fact echoed the sentiments of vast numbers of the British people in all areas and belonging to all social and occupational groups.

DEEPER MOTIVES?

Perhaps one straw in the wind as to the deeper motives behind the pit cuts was the way that Sir Ian McGregor popped up like a jack-in-the-box to endorse Heseltine's proposed switch to gas-fired power-generation. McGregor, a long-time agent of cosmopolitan financial interests, was first wheeled onto the scene in the early 1980s after being imported from the United States to carry out the initial round of hatchet work on Britain's coal industry. Calling gas "the fuel of the future," he was all for Britain closing down her mining industry, against which he has often seemed to be almost personally hostile, and plugging into the gasfields of Siberia.

It seems unlikely that in making these proposals McGregor, any more than Heseltine & Co., really has the interests of the British consumer at heart. For all the blather about 'cheapness', electricity consumers have not these elements are grabbing control of yet more of the world's natural resources by means of switching finance around the globe, and it can be taken as read that they will be tightening their grasp, for instance, on the natural gas reserves of Siberia — no doubt with the blessing of President Yeltsin.

The market of these interests is in places like Britain and other West European countries, where public utilities such as PowerGen, in which they have also invested, will supply the consumers. British coal is being squeezed out as an unwanted competitor; and British miners, whose product is deemed 'too expensive' for the financiers' liking, are being made the sacrificial lambs of the affair.

With interests like this behind the Government, we have not seen the last of the attempted murder of British coal. The message to the miners and all others who value Britain's welfare remains the same: **Stay vigilant!**

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY AND BRITISH COAL

INTERNATIONAL FINANCE, and the Tory Government that acts on its behalf, share in common the fact that neither cares about the ruin of British miners who are due to be thrown on the scrapheap. Nor do they care about the long-term strategic necessity for Britain to have a thriving domestic source of energy under her own control.

All they care about is fast bucks for stock-exchange spivs.

The British National Party is different. The BNP will lay down a national energy poliy in which British coal will have pride of place. No matter what happens, whatever other energy sources may be used where appropriate, a nationalist government will keep a thriving mining industry going as a matter of top priority. If necessary, a programme of investment will be launched to resurrect British mining from scratch.

Everything will be done to ensure a big and profitable market for home-produced coal inside Britain. To begin with, all imports will be stopped.

It is BNP policy to rebuild British manufacturing across the board. Once in power, the party will progressively exclude all imports of goods that can be produced at home. This will be done by a system of import quotas which will be gradually reduced until national self-sufficiency is attained in every branch of production.

Thus British steel, engineering, shipbuilding, chemicals and other heavy industries will be gradually restored — together with ancillary industries and services. City finance will be harnessed by the Government and directed in this operation — guaranteeing reasonable rewards to investors who put money into British production and employment, rather than excessive ones to those investing in

Contd. on page 7

WHEN IT IS RIGHT TO BE AN EXTREMIST

Nations, says JOHN TYNDALL, sometimes reach a nadir in fortunes when the only honourable response to policies of catastrophe is to advocate alternatives that are drastically and radically different

BRITAIN is in deep decline. The decline is economic, certainly — but it is much more than that. In almost every sector of our country's life there is a sickness and paralysis of the national will and spirit. Most of all, this is reflected at the level of political leadership. A succession of incompetent governments and prime ministers since the end of World War II has cast into doubt the worthiness of our entire political system. Is a higher calibre of government not possible? And if it is, can we achieve it by means of conventional political institutions, or must those institutions first undergo radical reform and overhaul? These are questions with which serious-minded Britons must get to grips very soon if there is to be any effective reversal of the policies that have led to the deterioration in our national condition that has been evident over most of the past half-century.

But there is a problem that confronts everyone seeking real change for the better through new policies and, possibly, new institutions to give effect to them. There is a massive and powerful interest that stands to gain from the maintenance of things as they are, and that interest still occupies the commanding heights from which are regulated not only present policy but the 'public opinion' that is called into force to support and sanctify that policy. I speak now not of the public opinion that simmers at the grass roots among the people at large, but of that deliberately manufactured 'opinion' that is the creation of the political classes and mass media. Whilst the two are by no means identical, it is normal for the first to feel bound by the permitted limits laid down by the second. That is to say that, while the man in the street is granted the right of dissent, he may only dissent within certain approved parameters. Should these be exceeded, he forfeits the legitimacy of normal democratic protest and is consigned, without leave of appeal, to the proscribed ranks of 'extremism'.

MEANING OF A WORD

So are you an extremist? Do you even know what the term means? Who indeed decides who is an extremist and who is not? Let me offer a little help, if I can.

I speak as one who has carried the label of 'extremist' for my entire political life. Yet I have never advocated policies that could reasonably be designated as 'extreme' by my definition. I have never proposed that anyone be banned from expressing any political or religious opinion, no matter how distasteful such an opinion may be to me. Least of all have I ever suggested, or believed, that a person should be flung into prison for comment on a matter of vital public concern — as I was flung into prison for comments I made in this paper and in *Spearhead* magazine on coloured immigrants and the wisdom or otherwise of admitting them to our country. I have never advocated the killing of anyone because of their political beliefs — although there are people in this country who have advocated killing me because of mine. I have never indeed approved of any acts of violence against persons because I detest their politics — although I have frequently myself been the target of acts of violence because of my politics, one of these occurring only a few days ago.

I believe that organisations of all political complexions should be permitted the hire of public meeting halls owned by local authorities and should be granted the right of assembly in other public places. I include among these some organisations described as belonging to the extreme left. I am perfectly confident that in a free and open public debate over the issues concerning this country today my allies and I can answer our opponents by force of superior argument — yet they, on all evidence so far, do not seem prepared to respect our rights of free speech and assembly and to answer us by force of superior argument. Among these opponents I include Conservatives and Liberal Democrats, who have shown no more sign of being prepared to defend our freedom of speech and assembly than have far left-wingers.

Yet by some strange contortion of the English language we it is who are labelled the 'extremists' and they the 'moderate' people!

WHEN MODERATION IS NO VIRTUE

So what is an extremist? An extremist, it seems, is a person who stands in a position of radical and irreconcilable opposition to prevailing policy and doctrine. By that token, and that token alone, I would admit to being an extremist. Is it wrong then to be an extremist in the Britain of today or should one's opposition to what is happening merely take a moderate form?

Should we exercise 'moderation' in our opposition to the policies that have created industrial ruin and massive unemployment? Not necessarily, our critics may reply, but at least we should content ourselves with putting forward remedies that fall within the limits of acceptable orthodoxy. But what if all the evidence is that the acceptable orthodoxy has failed, and that only drastic new departures of policy will suffice to change the situation? Is it 'extreme' to propose that the measures to regenerate Britain's economy must be fundamentally and radically different from those employed during the past forty years of British economic twilight?

Should we be 'moderate' in our opposition to the policies that have made Britain a land of rocketing crime and anarchy? Should we imagine that conventional political responses to this phenomenon, whether they are of the Tory or Labour kind, contain within them the possibilities of a return to law and order — when all the evidence of past years is that they have been totally ineffective?

Should we be 'moderates' on Europe — when we understand, as an increasing number of people in this country are coming to understand, that all roads to Europe approved by the leading parties and 'orthodox' opinion are leading to the loss of the British national freedom for which our people have fought for centuries?

Perhaps it is within the bounds of 'moderation' to oppose the Maastricht Treaty — after all, a number of MPs and even some newspapers do — but is it not outside those bounds to oppose British membership of the European Community *per se*? No, when it is recognised that the Maastricht Treaty is in all essentials the logical consequence and development of the Treaty of Rome, which was never intended to be other than a first step towards a Europe that was integrated both economically and politically. The idea that there is a European destiny without Maastricht (or at least the basics contained in Maastricht) belongs not to 'moderation' but to cloud-cuckooland.

Is there a 'moderate' stance that it is possible to adopt towards the prospect of the national character and identity of the British people changing out of all recognition through mass immigration and multi-racialism — with the result that we assume increasingly the culture of a Third World country? I think not. Any half-hearted response to this danger — such as the hopelessly unrealistic proposition that the majority of immigrants and their offspring can be persuaded to return to their ethnic homelands voluntarily after they have achieved living standards here many times in excess of what was ever possible in the latter — is not 'moderation'; it is **cowardice**, and deserves to be branded as such; it is nothing less than a craven desertion of logic, common sense and patriotic duty in deference to a fashionable and transient orthodoxy that would have been greeted with contempt and derision by our forefathers of sturdier generations.

MEASURES NEEDED FOR RECOVERY

And so it goes on. The plain and simple truth is that when a nation and a society reach a point of degeneration such as Britain has now unquestionably reached nothing less than extreme remedial measures offer the slightest hope of recovery and resurgence — anymore than a patient in the advanced stages of a potentially terminal illness can be treated effectively by the milder varieties of medication appropriate to one who has nothing worse than an unpleasant head-cold.

In saying this I stress again that by 'extreme' in the above context I mean simply measures that are fundamentally different from those that have operated to reduce the country (or patient) to its (or his) present condition.

There is, in other words, a time in the history of nations when extremism in respect of the call for change is no vice, and moderation is no virtue. I believe we have reached such a time in British affairs as we pass through the final decade of the 20th century. And I believe every patriotic Briton's duty today is therefore to be an extremist.

Of course, if we are successful in winning political victory and turning the tide, who then will be the 'extremists'? Why, no doubt those whose opposition to the policies of recovery and resurgence is the most vehement and whose alternatives are the most different. As always, the centre of political gravity will have shifted with changing circumstances. The respectable opinion of today will have become the opinion of dangerous radicals tomorrow, and vice-versa!

At the end of the day, 'extremism', like beauty, is in the eyes of the beholder. Don't be intimidated by the word — think for yourself: are our policies right or wrong? That is what matters!

Press lies about race — then contradicts itself!

THE DAILY MAIL **is one of those newspapers that would like to lull the British public to sleep by persuading them that we don't really have any big racial problem.**

In a leader on November 3rd the paper said: "It is a little-noticed fact that race relations in this country have gradually improved."

This will be news indeed to the people condemned to live in or on the edge of the huge 'ethnic' ghettos in many of our towns and cities. It will be news, particularly, to those in the North of England who have experienced violent racial conflict on their doorsteps during the past few months — in Halifax, for instance, where this year local folk have been the victims of some vicious attacks by marauding Asian gangs.

But of course some people in Britain will not know about such things because papers like the *Daily Mail* haven't been telling them. We only get to hear about them from people on the spot who write to us and send us local press cuttings.

And how is it that race relations have improved? Why, according to the *Mail's* leader writer, it is because of the policy of firm immigration controls that the Government has been carrying out in recent years.

Immigration controls? They must be joking! As we reported in our April issue, figures released two months previously by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys show that in 1990, the last year for which statistics are available, no less than 267,000 people entered Britain officially and legally. This ignores the number of illegal entrants, which immigration officers estimate as another 100,000.

But even the *Daily Mail* is prone to slip up in its attempts to convince its readers that all is lovely in the garden of race relations and immigration control. For only three pages following on from its soporific leader on the subject it contains a report headed 'Help on the way for town halls swamped by surge of refugees'. The report went on to acknowledge a "crisis caused by the massive influx of refugees into Britain...," and it continued by speaking of "local authorities which can barely cope with the huge numbers of refugees and asylum-seekers."

It would seem that where *Daily Mail* writers are concerned it is a classic case of the left hand not knowing what the right hand is doing (or in this case saying)!

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I quite agree with Mr. Bresler (letter last month) when he says that Jewish terrorists have not been brought to book.

In fact two of the terrorist leaders, Menachem Begin and Yitzhak Shamir, have become heads of Israel and been feted by royalty and politicians in this wonderful country of 'ours' (or is it 'theirs'?)

J. ARMSTRONG
Downham Market, Norfolk

Sir, — In the same vein as the article 'What about the workers?' in the October issue, I am writing to let readers know of the latest antics of the Scottish TUC.

At the beginning of October the STUC launched a campaign against 'racism' in Scottish football. The launch was given a report several minutes long on TV news, and spokesmen for the campaign prattled on about sinister so-called 'neo-nazi' groups that are planning to cause riots at games to gain publicity. Needless to say, they produced no evidence whatever to back up their wild claims.

There are two facts that make the STUC's campaign patently ridiculous. Firstly, there must be less than a dozen non-white players in the Scottish leagues — the two examples of black players given in the TV report have not even played in Scotland for some time now. Secondly, if the STUC was the true representative of the Scottish working class that it claims to be surely it would be too busy fighting the many job losses up here to have time to bother with such a trivial matter as the problems of abuse from the terraces experienced by a handful of extremely well-paid footballers.

ANDREW HARDIE
Carluke, Lanarks.

Sir, — I have recently experienced very long periods of waiting for Health Service appointments. Being old and infirm, I feel I am being fobbed off by a Health Service my generation created.

I put in five years of active service with the Royal Marines in World War II, but it seems that all this is being swept aside in order to give priority in medical treatment to young immigrants, for these most certainly get quicker appointments than I have done.

We indigenous Brits should come first in our own country — before newcomers who haven't lifted a finger to defend the country.

G. JONES
Wolverhampton

Sir, — I read in a newspaper recently that Catholics, due to a higher birthrate, will soon outnumber Protestants in Northern Ireland. This of course would create a wholly new balance of political power and could lead to Britain losing the province.

Tragic though this would be, we could not complain; it would just be nature's law — our fault for not breeding!

T.K. McBRIDE
Londonderry, N.I.

Deluge of the coloured millions continues

CHANCE DISCOVERIES EXPOSE TIP OF WELFARE FRAUD ICEBERG

A TRIP on any London bus that goes through the Elephant and Castle, just to the south of Waterloo Station, should convince any traveller of one thing. Whatever lies the Government may tell to the contrary, there's little being done in the way of any meaningful control of immigration into this country.

The majority of the passengers getting on and off the bus at the Elephant these days seem to be Africans. This is a fairly recent development, and there's little doubt when you look at them that most are fairly recent immigrants.

Of course, nobody in the Government or mass media, or for that matter on Southwark Council, the local authority, makes any effort to explain to the British public what is going on, and why.

Apart from vague suggestions that the floods of newcomers are 'refugees', to whom this country, for no reason that is ever explained, owes some sort of 'moral obligation', all we get is silence. Nobody in authority in Britain nowadays has much to say about the moral obligation – surely a good bit more real – that native Britons owe to their own kith and kin, not to say their own children and descendants, to maintain a land in which they can live.

ONE OF THE WAYS THEY GET IN

Hordes of Third World immigrants are entering Europe posing as 'refugees'. This picture shows a boatload from Africa about to land in Spain after having crossed the Straits of Gibraltar. Once on shore, they are seldom stopped, and they then disperse all over the continent, many of them coming to Britain.

For there can be no mistake. Britain is being deluged with what is shaping up to become a literally unending tide of mainly coloured aliens amounting potentially to tens of millions.

Phony welfare claims

How many are coming, and how little is being done to stop them, only tends to become clear when some immigration scandal is so blatant as to force the media to report it. For instance, earlier this year it was only as a result of phony multiple welfare claims by chance picked up by the DHSS that a migrant racket thought to be run by African government officials was uncovered.

Cheating immigrants turn up in London from Zaire with 'starter kits' of false passports, forged visas and phony sob-stories. Once here, they make multiple applications, using different aliases and photos, to seek asylum. If acknowledged as possible refugees by the Home Office they automatically become entitled to income support and housing benefit, at the expense of the British taxpayer, amounting to £120 per claim.

Social security officials received 52 claims of this kind from just two houses in Central London. From one other in North London they received no less than 74!

But it is only the small fry behind the rackets who ever seem to be nailed. One such was Somali student Maria Mohammed, who got pin money at £280 per-head for each Somali immigrant she brought to Luton or Gatwick from Rome. Having got to Italy, most of these wanted to come to Britain for the higher welfare they could get here.

Mohammed was already receiving £2,900 a year as a student through the London grant authorities. She was also claiming income support and moonlighting as a part-time waitress.

Police say she was only a minor player in the 'illegals' racket. Somewhere, they believe, there is a 'Mr. Big' behind it all. But it's highly unlikely he'll ever be caught.

Leaking frontiers

Meanwhile, Europe's frontiers are leaking like a sieve. Africans especially are pouring across the narrow neck of the Mediterranean between Morocco and the Spanish fishing port of Tarifa. Spanish police are failing to stop the vast majority of those who sneak across the water in flat-bottomed fishing boats. Once ashore, they disappear into the now established immigrant communities in Europe, moving from Spain to any other EC country of their choice, and thus potentially to Britain.

Even if caught in Spain, they only have to keep mum about their countries of origin, and under Spanish law they cannot be deported.

Only solution

There is only one way to stop this madness, and thereby to keep this land of ours as a trust for future generations. This is to revert to the common sense of our forebears, drop the phony pretence that we owe 'humanitarian' obligations to all and sundry around the world, and say that rights of citizenship and residence in Britain can only belong to people of our own race.

Charity begins at home. In most, if not all, cases it should end there. This is clearly one of them.

Multi-racialism should be ended and all persons not of our race (i.e. British or kindred European) should be liable to be repatriated. Such a programme can be financed by switching most of the foreign aid budget to pay for it. This will be a one-off expenditure well worth the outlay.

Not wanted here!

SOME SPECIMENS WE COULD DO WITHOUT

AFTER wealthy weightlifter Vincent King was cleared by a jury of raping a 23-year-old model but found guilty of assault on her, a gasp of horror went through Snaresbrook Crown Court in North East London when his record was read out.

At the time he assaulted the model, King was on parole from a life sentence he had incurred as a result of massacring his five-year-old son, two sisters-in-law and mother-in-law back in 1963. At that time he had been hunting his runaway wife.

Whilst doing so, he attacked his 45-year-old mother-in-law Phyllis Waugh and battered her to death with a bottle when in a berserk rage in Stockbury, Kent. He followed this up by strangling his baby son with the latter's bib-strings. He then strangled his wife's young sisters aged 11 and 12.

After King's jailing for 28 days on the recent assault charge, a top cop involved in the rape enquiry urged a change in the law to let juries know of previous crimes in advance of their verdicts.

'THE BEAST OF BATTERSEA'

32-year-old Edward Bartley prided himself on his fearsome reputation as the 'Beast of Battersea'. For four years he terrorised the streets of South London, wearing a hood as he violently raped one woman after another.

It was only after a long investigation, codenamed Operation Mandrill (after a ferocious type of African monkey), that Bartley was nailed, when one of his victims managed to snatch a button from his distinctive white designer jacket,

KING Jailed for multiple murder

which he was wearing, complete with loose thread, at the time of his arrest.

DNA 'genetic fingerprinting' showed Bartley to be the culprit, with the chance against any error being 860 million to one.

So brutal were Bartley's knife-wielding attacks that, in the words of Detective Inspector Tim Mann, who led the hunt for him: "All these women have had their lives shattered in one way or another. I don't think any of them will ever forget."

Sentencing the arrogant Bartley to 20 years, Judge Richard Lowry said: "You were confident you would never be caught. In some dark corner of your mind you conceived and carried out these dreadful attacks. Everyone is conscious of the misery and degradation with which each woman has been affected."

COLD-BLOODED BRUTE

With cold-blooded, diabolical calculation, Mitchell Robinson counted on bringing about the perfect murder in the killing of his girlfriend Julia Avery, the child of religious-minded parents, who had picked up with him in a moment of infatuation. Robinson's aim was to cash in on £153,000 worth of insurance that he had taken out on her life.

Julia had co-habited with Robinson for some time, and had a child by him. But her life consisted of a long round of beatings and desertions. At one period she took out a court injunction to stop Robinson contacting her. But he wheedled his way back in, and she gave way.

He put up a 19-year-old friend, Noell Brown, who worked with him as a club bouncer, to rape and murder Julia in exchange for part of the expected insurance pay-off. Brown entered the home she shared with Robinson whilst the latter was in a police cell after deliberately getting arrested in a fight to give himself an alibi. Brown strangled Julia without raping her. This led police, after investigation, to discount the sexual motive accompanying the killing which Robinson had wished to introduce as a diversion. Before long, Brown grassed on Robinson, whose plot was then unravelled.

Robinson was given life imprisonment at Birmingham Crown Court. In sentencing him Mr. Justice Boreham said: "The story I have listened to is one of the most chilling in the course of my considerable experience."

BROWN
Did murder for share in insurance pay-off

HOMICIDAL MANIAC

A schizophrenic knifeman came within an ace of killing a teenage PC in the East End of London recently. 19-year-old Constable Ian Slaney was repeatedly stabbed by David Moss in Mare Street, Hackney, when he tried to search Moss, who was acting suspiciously.

Moss took out a Gurkha knife and screamed: "Right. That's it. I'm going to kill you!"

Three witnesses, including an 11-year-old schoolboy, watched in horror as Moss stabbed the policeman in the back and head. PC Slaney told an earlier court hearing how he had feared death as the blade came down on him repeatedly during his courageous struggle with the knifeman.

Judge Laughland, presiding, praised Slaney's bravery. But Moss, who said after he had been arrested that Slaney "got what he deserved," was cleared of attempted murder on the grounds that he was a paranoid schizophrenic, and he was sent to Rampton Hospital.

Britain was once noted as one of the most peaceful and law-abiding countries on earth. But that was at a time when the character and quality of the population were very different from what they are now. Incidents like those related here, would have been almost unthink-able in those former times.

Perhaps it is time to start considering seriously the kind of measures necessary to get this country and its people back to the standards of yesteryear.

For one thing is for sure. We can well do without specimens like these.

ENFORCING THE MULTI-RACIALIST LIE

US research on crime and ethnicity meets with censorship

THE savage Los Angeles race riots earlier this year, which sparked a wave of black-on-white violence right the way across America, have once more put research into the connection between race and crime back onto the agenda in that country — for the first time in many years.

A great deal of noise has been made in the US, as in Britain, about alleged so-called racist 'hate' crimes by Whites against non-Whites, despite the vagueness with which these have been defined and the infrequency with which, in reality, they happen.

It is only now that some voices are once again being raised about crime amongst Blacks — as to the scale of which there is no doubt at all — and its causes.

As far back as May of this year, Republican presidential primary contender Patrick Buchanan presented startling verification of what vast numbers of ordinary Americans know from painful daily experience, especially in the nation's big cities.

According to figures Buchanan produced, Blacks commit eight times more assaults than Whites; nine times more rapes; 14 times more murders; and 19 times more armed robberies.

Blacks make up 12 per-cent of the US population, but 46 per-cent of the prison population is black.

Nearly a quarter of all Negroes aged between 20 and 29 are either in prison, on parole or on probation.

EVEN LIBERALS NOW RECOGNISING THE TRUTH

Buchanan is known as a man of the political right. But even so, the ultra-liberal and 'politically correct' London *Economist* (12th September 1992) startlingly produced figures that closely corroborate his account of crime in the United States. In an article on race and crime the magazine stated that in the city of Baltimore no less than 56 per-cent of Negro males between 18 and 35 years of age were in prison, paroled or on probation. Of all youths arrested in the city last year, 82 per-cent were black.

This compares, according to Buchanan, with 10 per-cent of Hispanics and a mere 6 per-cent of Whites.

The Economist's figures show a massive disproportion between white and black involvement in drug-pushing — perhaps the major flash-point for violence in America's crime-racked inner cities. In Baltimore, 1,304 black youths were charged with pushing drugs during 1991, while only 13 white youths were arrested for this offence.

It hardly seems surprising that many Americans conclude that without the Negro criminal population their country would be a comparatively safe place to live in.

INTER-RACIAL CRIMES: THE TRUTH

When it comes to specifically inter-racial crimes, Buchanan quoted the figures available for 1985. Of 629,000 recorded attacks by members of one racial group against another, nine out of ten were by Blacks against Whites.

White criminals prey on Blacks only 2 per-cent of the time. But of rapes committed by Blacks over 50 per-cent were against white women. Statistics show that rapes on black females by Whites are practically non-existent — the figure is something like one-thousandth of 1 per-cent.

As *The Economist* puts it, "It is impossible to study crime in America without also noting the preponderance of black criminals."

Little wonder then that there is a new urgency among ordinary Americans for a meaningful enquiry into the causes of all this crime. But equally, there is an urgency among all the powers-that-be of multi-racialist America to stop this.

EXCUSES, EXCUSES, EXCUSES

For some 25 years, ever since the black riots of the 1960s, the 'long, hot summers', public policy has taken it for granted that black criminality is just due to poverty and to so-called white 'racism', 'discrimination' and 'oppression'. Thus lavish welfare and jobs programmes, not to say reverse discrimination at the expense of Whites, have been the recipe applied by federal, state and municipal authorities to cure the problem.

The trouble is that at the end of all this the problem is as bad as, if not worse than, it was to begin with. After the latest round of riots in LA, Americans are left saying: "Isn't this where we came in?" Patience is wearing thin with what are now widely regarded as the quack cures of the last quarter century.

But when a conference was called at the University of Maryland to consider whether, after all, the cause of crime might be genetic, as previous generations took for granted, rather than the result of bad environment and upbringing, as America's race-mixing establishment (like Britain's) has been preaching, 'Black Power' groups were stirred up to get it stopped. The conference was hastily called off ater its sponsors withdrew financial backing and the university authorities ran scared and ordered its cancellation.

The Economist aptly put the case for freedom of speech over this issue: "If black groups," it said, "fear and reject a genetic explan-ation, they should counter it not with censorship but with research of their own."

It is perhaps a comment on these groups and the powers behind them, as also on the strength of their arguments, that they apparently have not the self-confidence to allow their point of view to be subjected to critical examination in a free debate. This is almost enough in itself to discredit the whole doctrine of 'racial equality', even if there were not the overwhelming evidence of experience to go on.

We in Britain, who have suffered a crime boom similar to that of the United States in the years since our politicians started making our country multi-racial, should take very careful note of what is happening across the Atlantic — in respect not only of the facts about race and crime themselves but also of the efforts of certain pressure groups to suppress any discussion of them. The fact that the British National Party has been barred from hiring meeting halls up and down the country on the grounds of its so-called 'racism' indicates that it is all happening here as well!



PICKED ON JUST BECAUSE HE WAS WHITE
The man on the ground being kicked by three black thugs in Atlanta, Georgia was chosen for attack for no reason other than his colour

OLD GANG GETS RATTLED

In the face of the rising BNP challenge, opponents offer only threats, lies and abuse, never argument

FAR AND WIDE across Britain, the British National Party is on an upswing. Votes and membership alike are increasing. Candidate Barry Osborne's 20 per-cent showing in last month's local by-election in the Millwall ward of Tower Hamlets represents an historic breakthrough for the party.

Not since the 1970s have nationalists in Britain received votes of this size.

In response, the party's opponents have gone quite hysterical.

In Reading, for example, the town's Deputy Mayor, Punjabi-born Rajinder Sohpal, in a fit of pique demanded of the local *Evening Post* that he be given equal space inside the paper to reply to a local BNP representative who had presented some of the party's policies, including the ending of the multi-racial experiment with a phased repatriation programme, in a full-page interview a couple of days previously. The BNP spokesman's interview had followed a day of action on the previous weekend, when BNP members had put out party leaflets in the town highlighting the problems afflicting Britain from mass immigration and the breakdown of law and order.

The day of action had been entirely peaceful. The distribution of the leaflets was completely lawful.



PIQUED BY NATIONALISTS
Rajinder Sophal, seen here, was born in the Punjab but presumes to speak for the people of Reading in rejecting the BNP.

LIES AND ABUSE

But Mr. Sohpal confined himself in his interview to lies and abuse of the BNP. He tried to give the paper's readers the idea that he had somebody present at an earlier party meeting in the town, and that this person had told him that only five people had shown up, all but one from London and Bristol!

In fact, as the Reading Branch meeting had been an entirely private one by invitation only it would have been impossible for any acquaintance of Sophal to attend. The meeting, held in July, was a Hants. & Berks. regional one attended by just over 50 people. Just under half of these were from Reading itself. Most of the remainder were from elsewhere in Berkshire and from Basingstoke and North Hampshire.

These are all areas which in one way or another have been, or stand to be, affected by the nightmarish situation that Sophal and the rest of Reading's ruling politicians on the council, Labour, Tory and Liberal Democrat alike, have allowed to develop and are content to preside over. The murderers of teenager Raymond Kelly at the filling station at Hartley Wintney, near Basingstoke, Jimil Ahmed Chowdhary and Mohammed Womiq Nazir, both came from Reading's notorious Oxford Road ghetto district.

Neither Sophal nor his political colleagues have any reasoned reply to offer to the BNP's challenge over the state of the town. Instead, they call simply for the police to stop the party campaigning.

More recently, they have organised an anti-BNP leafletting campaign. This has involved little more substantial than inaccurate personal abuse against party leaders.

The anti-BNP campaigners are reported recently to have held a meeting in Reading addressed by self-styled 'anti-fascist' activists from London whose methods of opposing nationalism is to attempt to stir up violent street hooliganism against BNP members. It is still to be confirmed whether Mr. Sophal and his colleagues attended this meeting.

HYSTERIA AND PANIC

To take the case of a second area just outside London, similar hysteria has met the appearance of the BNP in Basildon and surrounding districts. Essex Racial Equality Council has issued panicky statements calling for action of one sort or another against the party's growth — whether by police or other agencies.

To say the least, this type of call, whether in Reading, Basildon or anywhere else, puts a strange light on the credentials of Britain's power-wielders to call themselves 'democrats'. The BNP, for its part, concentrates on presenting a reasoned exposition of the ills of contemporary Britain, together with proposals for their cure. Its long-term strategy is to gain votes, and eventually to be elected to power, like any other political party, by convincing the electorate of the rightness of its principles.

If the party's opponents cannot agree with these principles, why do they not show willing to meet us in open debate, explaining why they think us wrong? Then at least the British public will be enabled to make up their own minds on the merits of the arguments. As it is, they seem to have no answer to us but insults, vilification and attempted intimidation.

Meanwhile, if these opponents of ours really want to know why our appeal is striking a chord with ever growing numbers of British folk they don't have to look much further than the streets and neighbourhoods which under the rule of people of their ilk have become the scenes of horrific happenings once totally alien to this country.

Basildon, for example, has started to see brutal inner-city-style muggings, including one of a terrified young schoolgirl in the town centre, and in September was the scene of a vicious rape on a seven-year-old girl by a coloured attacker in a park near her home.

It is precisely so that a final remedy can be found for such things as these that the BNP is needed — and is increasingly coming to be seen as needed by the British people.

Bankrupt of solutions themselves, the powers-that-be are falling back on attempts at negative obstruction against the BNP — the one force that will ever set Britain straight.

This frenzied reaction to the BNP challenge indicates clearly that they're getting rattled. And so they should be!

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 081 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

BOOKS



RACE **(by Prof. J.R. Baker). The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book of great importance. £10.30 plus £2.40 p&p.**



WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION **(by Anthony C. Sutton). The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. £6.80 plus 84p p&p.**



THE FRENCH REVOLUTION **(by Nesta Webster). A much needed reprint of the counter-revolutionary classic that exposed the plotting behind the first great revolutionary bloodbath of modern history. £6.00 plus £2.05 p&p.**

NEW REDUCED BOOK OFFER

THE ELEVENTH HOUR **(by John Tyndall). We are now offering the hardback edition of this book at a price reduced from £15.00 to £12.00. This is because the paperback edition of the book is nearly sold out but there are a number of copies of the hardback in stock which we wish to clear before printing a new edition, which incidentally will be selling at a price higher than the original £15.00.**

The Eleventh Hour **has become the modern 'classic' for students of British Nationalism. It was written mostly while the British National Party leader was in prison, having been convicted under Britain's infamous race laws for saying things critical of the ethnic minorities. The book provides a comprehensive exposition of the entire political doctrine of the British National Party. New price £12.00 plus £3.15 p&p.**



VIDEOS





Videos of the last three years' BNP annual rallies. £12.00 plus 93p p&p.

JOIN US!

BNP to launch major recruitment drive

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is shortly to launch the biggest recruiting campaign in the history of post-war nationalist politics in Britain. The campaign will begin this month. We will be taking our message straight to the British people with the emphasis not only, as in the past, on our policies but on the importance of people who agree with us joining the party and becoming personally involved. The message is simple: "Join our crusade to free this land!"

The campaign will be spearheaded by a special new recruitment leaflet headed 'Join us!', which is reproduced on the right. All units of the BNP, large and small, will be expected to promote this major campaign to the full.

Never before has the time been more ripe for the growth of nationalism in Britain. The BNP is going to take advantage of this situation with both hands. Organisers — order your leaflets NOW!

NOTE: Those who want to join straightaway can find an application form on the back page

NEW LEAFLET

New British National Party recruiting leaflet headed *'Join us!'*. This has been produced to spearhead the party's Autumn and Winter recruiting campaign, details of which are given on the left. Price £4.50 per 1,000 with postage charges of £4.10 for 1,000 and £4.65 for 2,000. Start ordering now!

The British National Party is recruiting new members in this area

- **The BNP is the only party that puts the British people first — in jobs, housing, education and welfare**
- **The BNP is the only party that defends the rights of white communities under threat from floods of immigrants**
- **The BNP says that child killers and other murderers, including IRA terrorists, should get the death penalty**
- **The BNP totally opposes all attempts to break up the United Kingdom**
- **The BNP is against the Maastricht Treaty and stands for British independence from Europe**
- **The BNP is against destroying British jobs by importing foreign goods which we have the skills and resources to produce in this country; it believes the way to full employment is by buying British**
- **The BNP opposes mass immigration and believes in Britain for the British**

Stand up and be counted! Join our Battle for Britain!

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

Please tick the applicable box and fill in and send the coupon below to the address at bottom.

Please send me further information about the British National Party, for which I enclose 34p to cover costs. ☐

Please enrol me as a member of the British National Party. I enclose a year's subscription of £12.00 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

.....

.....

Printed & published by British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BNP confronts race traitors in Walsall

MASSIVE PUBLICITY ACHIEVED AS LEFTISTS CALL OFF MARCH AFTER NATIONALISTS' PRESSURE

SHOWING THE BANNER Part of the BNP active team in Walsall pose for photo between campaigning

ACTIVISTS of the British National Party made a big impact in the West Midlands town of Walsall during the last week of October. The party's campaign in the area during the preceding months had so worried its opponents that they had planned to hold an 'anti-racist' march and rally in the town on Saturday, October 31st. After the BNP promised to mount a counter-demonstration, however, the local police forbade the 'anti-racists' to parade through the centre of Bloxwich (a district of Walsall) and insisted that they restrict themselves to a residential area, as had been done with the BNP in July. Dispirited, the leftists called off their march and contented themselves with holding a rally in Walsall Town Hall.

Both preceding and following the day's events the confrontation between the BNP and the left received massive local publicity, including a mention on TV news throughout the Midlands, and the public were left in no doubt as to the BNP message of opposition to immigration and multi-racialism.

The BNP mobilisation for the day was very much of a rapid and last-minute nature. Nevertheless, a contingent of about 50 activists, mainly from the Midlands, assembled in the town. Accompanied by a banner and many flags, the nationalists sold papers and leafletted extensively in the housing estates in Bloxwich, where they distributed over 3,000 of the party's latest recruiting leaflet 'Join us!'. Later they proceeded to Walsall football ground and leafletted the crowds coming out at the end of the match with Doncaster Rovers. To round the day off, an indoor meeting was held in the town.

Following the hire of Walsall Town Hall by the 'anti-racists', the BNP is putting in an application to the local council for the hall in order to stage its own meeting. We will see if the council is willing to allow two opposite points of view to be heard on an issue of great concern to local people!

SPREADING THE MESSAGE
Above and below: leaflet teams on housing estates

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

ISLINGTON & CAMDEN
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street,
Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 275, Uxbridge,
Middlesex UB10 8XU

CROYDON & MERTON
PO Box 301, Carshalton,
Surrey SM5 4QW

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling,
Kent DA16 3DW

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton,
Essex IG10 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
PO Box 8, Ashford TN23 2EL

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 811, Bradwell Common,
Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

LUTON & DUNSTABLE
PO Box 396, Luton, Beds. LU1 1YY

ISLE OF WIGHT
PO Box 7, Ventnor, I.O.W. PO38 1RA

DEVON
PO Box 1, Torrington EX38 8HQ

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES
PO Box 850, Bangor,
Gwynedd LL57 2QJ

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr,
Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 312, Hanley, Staffs. ST1 0SG

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough,
Cambs. PE3 8JE

NORTHANTS.
PO Box 72, Irthlingborough,
Wellingborough NN9 5XN

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton,
Nottingham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.
PO Box 5, Eastwood,
Notts. NG16 3RN

NEWARK
PO Box 5, Newark,
Notts. NG24 3LD

DERBY
PO Box 249, Derby DE21 9EG

CHESTERFIELD
PO Box 174, Chesterfield,
Derbyshire S40 1FP

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MERSEYSIDE
PO Box 241, Liverpool L69 7JS

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

ROCHDALE
16 Count Street, Rochdale,
Lancs. OL16 5LP

OLDHAM
PO Box 10, Oldham,
Lancs. OL8 2WW

BLACKPOOL
PO Box 53, South Shore,
Blackpool FY4 1FS

LEEDS
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

BRADFORD
PO Box 6, Thornton,
Bradford BD13 3QF

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

DEWSBURY
PO Box 32, Dewsbury WF12 0YU

HALIFAX
PO Box 133, Halifax HX3 6HB

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington,
Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LB

CUMBRIA
PO Box 7, Ulverston,
Cumbria LA12 8LX

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY
PO Box 72, Paisley PA2 0BH

TAYSIDE
PO Box 210, Dundee

NORTHERN IRELAND
PO Box 20, Portadown,
Co. Armagh BT62 3PX

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Redbridge, Barking & Dagenham, Isle of Sheppey, Watford, Reading, Gloucestershire, Bristol & Bath, Stevenage, Bedford, Stourbridge, Walsall, Grantham, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Batley, Hull, York, Tees-side, Sunderland, Stirling & Alloa, Fife, Cumbernauld, Greenock, Lanarkshire, Ayr, Cumnock (Ayrshire), West Lothian, Inverness and Belfast. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Best ever East Midlands meeting

ON MONDAY, 21st September, members and supporters of the British National Party turned out for what was the best ever meeting held in the East Midlands supported almost entirely by people from the region.

The meeting was held in Mansfield, Notts., and certainly exceeded all expectations. More than 150 people, new members and enquirers included, listened to speeches by North West Organiser Ken Henderson and Midlands Organiser John Peacock. After the rallying calls for increased activity, Ian Stewart and *Skrewdriver* took to the stage to sing a number of white race ballads.

The meeting and social, which attracted both the young and not so young, clearly demonstrated the growth of the BNP in the East Midlands, where in recent times the party has opened up a number of new active units in Notts., Derbyshire and Northamptonshire to add to the original unit in Leicester.

Congratulations to the organisers of the event!

EAST MIDLANDS RALLY
John Peacock speaks

BNP activists hand out leaflets at Wembley football

ON WEDNESDAY, 14th October, England played a draw in an international soccer match against Norway at Wembley, the match being a qualifier for the World Cup, due to take place in 1994. However, the England fans were given a great boost when they left the stadium in the pouring rain. A large contingent of BNP activists, mainly from North West London, had gathered, and thousands of leaflets were handed out and were gratefully received. So warm was the welcome that this method of leaflet distribution will be used again and again in the future, especially at events which attract thousands of British people.

LEGAL FUND: £725.00 RAISED

As we go to press, the figure contributed to the BNP Legal Fund, announced last month, totals £725.00. The full details of the purpose of the fund were given in a special report and appeal published in the October issue of* Spearhead *magazine. The money is needed to pay for legal representation for BNP Activities Organiser Richard Edmonds, who, together with party leader John Tyndall, is appealing against a verdict delivered against the two men at Crown Court by which they were deemed responsible for removing BNP publicity material which had been fixed to a site in public view in Mitcham, Surrey, earlier this year without local authority planning permission, contrary to the Town & Country Planning Act of 1990. Mr. Tyndall's and Mr. Edmonds' defence was that the material was put on display without their knowledge or consent. The court nevertheless ruled that it was their responsibility, having been informed of the display, to have it removed. The BNP leaders intend to take this case to the furthest court of appeal necessary, in view of its vital importance in respect of the party's right to spread its message through the printed word. The cost of the case is expected to be at least £2,000.

We thank all those who have so far contributed to the fund. Future donations should be marked 'BNP Legal Fund' and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY AND BRITISH COAL
(Contd. from page 2)

Britain's competitors abroad.

As employment picks up and the people once more have the money in their pockets to buy the fruits of their own production, coal, which comes into many, if not most, productive processes both directly and indirectly as an energy source, will automatically find an expanding market.

Not the least role for coal in the future will be as a means by which oil can be synthesised. This role will gain in importance as North Sea oil runs down over the next 25 years. A BNP Government will invest heavily in the creation of an oil-from-coal industry.

ANOTHER GREAT RALLY VICTORY

BNP beats red opposition and assembly hall bans to meet in London on 24th October



Rally Speakers. Above: Richard Edmonds; below left: John Tyndall; below right: Claude Cornelleau.



ON SATURDAY, the 24th October, the British National Party held its Annual Rally, which turned out once again to be a great success – despite a battle against heavy obstacles.

The day started brightly at the redirection point at Euston, in Central London. Various extreme left-wing groups had been threatening in the preceding weeks to stage a violent assault on members of the BNP as they met up at Euston. However, when the red heroes eventually turned up and saw the BNP contingent of stewards, which was already in place, they meekly stood in a fenced-off green patch and kept themselves well away.

The venue for this year's rally was Church House, adjacent to Westminster Abbey and the Houses of Parliament. The building is in fact the Headquarters of the Church of England, and the circular assembly room in which the rally was held is more commonly used for meetings of the Church's parliament or Synod. However, instead of the limp-wristed Archbishops of Canterbury and York seated at the top table, on this occasion pride of place was reserved for BNP leader John Tyndall and other nationalist speakers – quite a change! (Interestingly enough, Church House was also used for some show-trials after World War II).

The hall had been booked surreptitiously by use of a cover name. The BNP dislikes having to engage in this practice just as much as the hirers of halls dislike being victims of it, but the party is left with no alternative if it is to exercise its proper rights of assembly in 'democratic' Britain of the 1990s; up and down the country local authorities of all political complexions have refused to allow the party rental of meeting halls under their control, while owners of private halls have also denied the BNP rights of hire because of fear of damage caused by left-wing opposition.

The rally was ably chaired by Yorkshire Regional Organiser Syd Carthew, who soon brought the audience to order and introduced the first speakers: Ken Henderson, who gave a report on BNP progress in the North of England, and John Peacock, who did the same on behalf of the Midlands. They were followed by National Organiser David Bruce.

This year's annual rally was quite unique in that two guest speakers from overseas were present. The first was Claude Cornelleau from France, who gave a very well received speech in excellent English, which earned him a standing ovation. M. Cornelleau was followed by Sam Dickson, an American lawyer from the southern states who has been prominent in defending patriotic activists in his country who have fallen foul of the system.

National Activities Organiser Richard Edmonds spoke next, and launched the new British National Party recruiting campaign 'Join us!'. Richard Edmonds also called onto the platform Barry Osborne, who recently gained the magnificent 20 per-cent election result in Tower Hamlets (see our front-page story this month).

There followed the concluding address by party leader John Tyndall, which sent the delegates away ready for another year of hard struggle, fortified with the knowledge that the BNP is making clear and steady progress.

Finally, David Bruce called for the collection, which raised a record-breaking £3,200.

The rally was followed by an excellent social and buffet held in a City pub, which rounded the proceedings off very well.

This year's rally has set a hard task for us to ensure that next year's is again an even greater success. Particularly praiseworthy this year was the efficient way in which the BNP stewarding force, under the direction of Derek Beackon, organised the difficult operation of transferring delegates and members of the public across London, then getting them into the hall without the management guessing their identity until the start of the meeting.

Assembly Hall, Church House: a view of the audience

LOCAL ELECTIONS 1993

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY will be contesting the non-metropolitan county council elections due to be held in May 1993. Candidates will fight wards all over Britain, although no elections are being held this time in the old metropolitan counties or in Greater London.

Local organisers must select their wards **now**, and start their campaigning **immediately**. The useful experiences and lessons learned during the recent Millwall by-election in Tower Hamlets will be put to good effect next May, but only if our local units to put in a major effort.

All organisers who wish to fight these elections must write to the Campaign Director, c/o the party HQ address in Welling as soon as possible with details of the wards and candidates nominated.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 70p. Yearly subscription £12.50 (British Isles). £1 for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

Buy
BRITISH NATIONALIST
in bulk

British Nationalist retails at 30p. Supplies in bulk are available at the following rates (standing orders welcomed):-

10 copies £2.80	+ 93p post
25 copies £6.60	+ £3.15 post
50 copies £12.00	+ £4.10 post
100 copies £21.00	+ £4.65 post
150 copies £30.00	+ £5.35 post
200 copies £36.00	+ £6.25 post
300 copies £50.40	+ £7.80 post
400 copies £66.00	+ £7.80 post
500 copies £78.00	by Roadline

Cheques/POs to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders, enquiries and payments to *British Nationalist* entirely separate from other correspondence and remittances so as to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? Rates for 12 issues are: British Isles £6.00; Overseas surface mail £8.25; Air mail Americas, Africa £12.10; Air mail Australasia £13.20. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them with name and address to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An extra charge is payable on subscriptions sent in foreign currency. This is: On cheques and money orders £6.50; on banknotes £2.50.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from the British market.
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry.
- The regeneration of British farming, with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production.
- Rejection of both the poll tax and its substitute, the new 'property tax', and a return to the old rates system, though with fairer rebates allowing for differences in income and in the numbers occupying properties.
- A healthy environment for a healthy people – the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities.
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty.
- A return to traditional methods of education, with stronger discipline in the classroom and the sacking of 'trendy' teachers.
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality.
- An end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home.
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(*Tick appropriate box*):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by Albion Press, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW